Optimization of Logical Queries

Task:

Consider the following relational schema:

- Hotel(hid, name, address)
- Room(rid, hid, type, price)
- Booking(hid, gid, date_from, date_to, rid)
- Guest(gid, name, address)

Translate the following SQL query into the relational algebra and use the algebraic laws to improve the query plan.

```
SELECT R.rid, R.type, R.price
FROM Room R, Booking B, Hotel H
WHERE R.rid = B.rid AND B.hid = H.hid
AND H.name = 'Hilton' AND R.price > 100
```

Optimization of Logical Queries

Solution

The translation gives us the following relational algebra expression:

 $\begin{aligned} \pi_{\texttt{R.rid},\texttt{R.type},\texttt{R.price}} \, \sigma_{\texttt{R.rid}=\texttt{B.rid} \land \texttt{B.hid}=\texttt{H.hid} \land \texttt{H.name}=\texttt{'Hilton' \land \texttt{R.price}>100} \\ & (\rho_{\texttt{R}}(\texttt{Room}) \times \rho_{\texttt{H}}(\texttt{Hotel}) \times \rho_{\texttt{B}}(\texttt{Booking})) \end{aligned}$

Optimization of Logical Queries

Solution

The translation gives us the following relational algebra expression:

 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{R.rid},\texttt{R.type},\texttt{R.price}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{R.rid}=\texttt{B.rid} \land \texttt{B.hid}=\texttt{H.hid} \land \texttt{H.name}=\texttt{'Hilton' \land \texttt{R.price}>100} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{R}}(\texttt{Room}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{H}}(\texttt{Hotel}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{B}}(\texttt{Booking})) \end{aligned}$

First, we split the selections:

 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{R.rid},\texttt{R.type},\texttt{R.price}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{R.rid}=\texttt{B.rid}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{B.hid}=\texttt{H.hid}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{H.name}=\texttt{'Hilton'}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{R.price}>100} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{R}}(\texttt{Room}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{H}}(\texttt{Hotel}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{B}}(\texttt{Booking})) \end{aligned}$

And we push the selections:

 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{R.rid},\texttt{R.type},\texttt{R.price}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{R.rid}=\texttt{B.rid}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{R.price}>100}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{R}}(\texttt{Room}) \\ & \times \,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{B.hid}=\texttt{H.hid}}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\texttt{H.name}=\texttt{'Hilton'}}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{H}}(\texttt{Hotel})\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\texttt{B}}(\texttt{Booking})\right) \end{aligned}$

Optimization of logical queries

Solution (continued)

Then, the joins are recognized:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{R.rid},\text{R.type},\text{R.price}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text{R.price}>100}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{R}}(\text{Room}) \\ & \bowtie_{\text{R.rid}=\text{B.rid}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text{H.name}='\text{Hilton'}}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{H}}(\text{Hotel}) \underset{\text{B.hid}=\text{H.hid}}{\bowtie} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{B}}(\text{Booking}))) \end{aligned}$$

Optimization of logical queries

Solution (continued)

Then, the joins are recognized:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{R.rid},\text{R.type},\text{R.price}}(\sigma_{\text{R.price}>100}\,\rho_{\text{R}}(\text{Room}) \\ & \bowtie_{\text{R.rid}=\text{B.rid}}(\sigma_{\text{H.name}='\text{Hilton'}}\,\rho_{\text{H}}(\text{Hotel}) \underset{\text{B.hid}=\text{H.hid}}{\bowtie}\,\rho_{\text{B}}(\text{Booking}))) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the projections are pushed:

Task:

```
Consider a binary relation Q(A, B). First translate the following SQL query into a select-project-join expression, and then into a conjunctive query:
```

```
SELECT Q1.A, Q3.B FROM Q Q1, Q Q2, Q Q3
WHERE Q1.B = Q2.A and Q2.B = Q3.A
```

Task:

Consider a binary relation Q(A, B). First translate the following SQL query into a select-project-join expression, and then into a conjunctive query:

SELECT Q1.A, Q3.B FROM Q Q1, Q Q2, Q Q3 WHERE Q1.B = Q2.A and Q2.B = Q3.A

Solution

The corresponding select-project-join expression is:

 $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{Q_1.A,Q_3.B}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{Q_1.B=Q_2.A \land Q_2.B=Q_3.A}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_1}(Q) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_2}(Q) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_3}(Q))$

Task:

```
Consider a binary relation Q(A, B). First translate the following SQL query into a select-project-join expression, and then into a conjunctive query:
```

SELECT Q1.A, Q3.B FROM Q Q1, Q Q2, Q Q3 WHERE Q1.B = Q2.A and Q2.B = Q3.A

Solution

The corresponding select-project-join expression is:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{Q_1.A,Q_3.B}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{Q_1.B=Q_2.A \land Q_2.B=Q_3.A}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_1}(Q) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_2}(Q) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_3}(Q))$$

To translate this into a conjunctive query, we create an atom with distinct variables for each relation:

 $P(x_{Q_{1}\cdot A}, x_{Q_{3}\cdot B}) \leftarrow Q(x_{Q_{1}\cdot A}, x_{Q_{1}\cdot B}), Q(x_{Q_{2}\cdot A}, x_{Q_{2}\cdot B}), Q(x_{Q_{3}\cdot A}, x_{Q_{3}\cdot B})$

Solution of the exercises

Task:

Consider a binary relation Q(A, B). First translate the following SQL query into a select-project-join expression, and then into a conjunctive query:

SELECT Q1.A, Q3.B FROM Q Q1, Q Q2, Q Q3 WHERE Q1.B = Q2.A and Q2.B = Q3.A

Solution

The corresponding select-project-join expression is:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{Q_1.A,Q_3.B}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{Q_1.B=Q_2.A\wedge Q_2.B=Q_3.A}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_1}(Q)\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_2}(Q)\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_3}(Q))$$

We then unify variables that must be equal:

 $P(x_{Q_{1}.A}, x_{Q_{3}.B}) \leftarrow Q(x_{Q_{1}.A}, x_{Q_{1}.B}), Q(x_{Q_{1}.B}, x_{Q_{2}.B}), Q(x_{Q_{2}.B}, x_{Q_{3}.B})$

Task:

Consider a binary relation Q(A, B). First translate the following SQL query into a select-project-join expression, and then into a conjunctive query:

SELECT Q1.A, Q3.B FROM Q Q1, Q Q2, Q Q3 WHERE Q1.B = Q2.A and Q2.B = Q3.A

Solution

The corresponding select-project-join expression is:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{Q_1.A,Q_3.B}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{Q_1.B=Q_2.A\wedge Q_2.B=Q_3.A}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_1}(Q)\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_2}(Q)\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Q_3}(Q))$$

(Optionally), we rename the variables:

$$P(x,y) \gets Q(x,k), Q(k,l), Q(l,y)$$

Task:

Consider the relations R(A, B), S(C), T(D, E), U(F, G) and V(A, B, C). Translate the following conjunctive query into a select-project-join expression. What is the corresponding SQL query?

 $Q_1(x,y) \leftarrow S(x), T(x,3), U(x,y)$

Task:

Consider the relations R(A, B), S(C), T(D, E), U(F, G) and V(A, B, C). Translate the following conjunctive query into a select-project-join expression. What is the corresponding SQL query?

 $Q_1(x,y) \leftarrow S(x), T(x,3), U(x,y)$

Solution

The select-project-join expression is:

 $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{S.C,U.G}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{S.C=U.F}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{S.C=T.D}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{T.E=3}\,(S\times T\times U)$

Task:

Consider the relations R(A, B), S(C), T(D, E), U(F, G) and V(A, B, C). Translate the following conjunctive query into a select-project-join expression. What is the corresponding SQL query?

 $Q_1(x,y) \leftarrow S(x), T(x,3), U(x,y)$

Solution

The select-project-join expression is:

```
\pi_{S.C,U.G}\sigma_{S.C=U.F}\sigma_{S.C=T.D}\sigma_{T.E=3}\left(S \times T \times U\right)
```

The corresponding SQL query is:

```
SELECT S.C, U.G
FROM S, T, U
WHERE S.C = U.F AND S.C = T.D AND T.E = 3
```

Recap

- A *substitution* of Q in D is a function that maps each variable occurring in Q to a constant in D.
- A matching of Q in D is a substitution σ such that σ (body) $\subseteq D$
- $Q(D) = \{ \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\text{head}) \mid \boldsymbol{\sigma} \text{ a matching of } Q \text{ in } D \}$
- The canonical database of a query Q_i is the set of atoms D_i obtained from the body of Q, where each variable x is considered as a constant.
- To test whether $Q_i \subseteq Q_j$, it suffices to check whether the head of Q_i (considered as a fact) occurs in $Q_j(D_i)$.

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

• $Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$ • $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$ • $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$ • $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$ Is $Q_1 \subset Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subset Q_2$?

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_1)$?

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$?

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_1)$? Candidate substitution:

 $x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y$

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_1)$? Candidate substitution:

 $x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y$

Then, to mach Q(x,a) we would need $a\mapsto a$.

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_1)$? Candidate substitution:

$$x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, a \mapsto a$$

Then, to mach Q(a, b) we would need $b \mapsto b$.

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_1)$? Candidate substitution:

$$x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, a \mapsto a, b \mapsto b$$

Then, to mach Q(b,c) we would need $c\mapsto y$.

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_1)$? Candidate substitution:

$$x\mapsto x, y\mapsto y, a\mapsto a, b\mapsto b, c\mapsto y$$

But then, to mach Q(c,y) is mapped to Q(y,y), which is not in $D_1!$

Consider the following conjunctive queries:

•
$$Q_1(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)$$

• $Q_2(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, c), Q(c, y)$
• $Q_3(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)$
• $Q_4(x, y) \leftarrow Q(x, y), Q(y, x)$
Is $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$? Is $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$?

Solution: $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

We construct the canonical database for Q_1 :

$$D_1 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

No candidate substitution is a matching. Hence, $Q_2(D_1) = \emptyset$ and $(x,y) \notin Q_2(D_1)$.

Therefore: $Q_1 \not\subseteq Q_2$ (we constructed a counterexample).

Solution of the exercises

Solution: $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

- $Q_3: P(x,y) \leftarrow Q(x,a), Q(a,1), Q(1,b), Q(b,y)$
- $\bullet \ Q_2: P(x,y) \leftarrow Q(x,a), Q(a,b), Q(b,c), Q(c,y)$

We construct the canonical database for Q_3 :

$$D_3 := \{Q(x, a), Q(a, 1), Q(1, b), Q(b, y)\}.$$

Is $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_3)$?

Solution: $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$ **?**

- $Q_3: P(x,y) \leftarrow Q(x,a), Q(a,1), Q(1,b), Q(b,y)$
- $Q_2: P(x,y) \leftarrow Q(x,a), Q(a,b), Q(b,c), Q(c,y)$

We construct the canonical database for Q_3 :

$$D_3 := \{Q(x,a), Q(a,1), Q(1,b), Q(b,y)\}.$$

Yes! The following matching ensures that $(x, y) \in Q_2(D_3)$

$$[x \to x, y \to y, a \to a, b \to 1, c \to b]$$

Therefore: $Q_3 \subseteq Q_2$.

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query

 $Q(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query

$$Q(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$$

Solution

• The atom R(x, y) cannot be removed (why?).

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query

 $Q(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$

Solution

- The atom R(x, y) cannot be removed (why?).
- We check whether R(y,w) can be removed. Let P be the following conjunctive query:

 $P(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,z)$

We must check whether $P \subseteq Q$ ($Q \subseteq P$ is trivially true).

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query

 $Q(x,z) \gets R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$

Solution

- The atom R(x, y) cannot be removed (why?).
- \bullet We check whether R(y,w) can be removed. Let P be the following conjunctive query:

 $P(x,z) \gets R(x,y), R(y,z)$

We must check whether $P \subseteq Q$ ($Q \subseteq P$ is trivially true). Therefore, we construct the canonical database for P:

$$D := \{R(x, y), R(y, z)\}$$

The following matching ensures that $(x, z) \in Q(D)$, and hence that $P \subseteq Q$:

$$[x \to x, y \to y, w \to z, z \to z]$$

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query:

$$Q(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$$

Solution (continued)

• Since P is equivalent and "more optimal", we now continue with optimizing query P.

 $P(x,z) \gets R(x,y), R(y,z)$

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query:

$$Q(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$$

Solution (continued)

• Since P is equivalent and "more optimal", we now continue with optimizing query P.

 $P(x,z) \gets R(x,y), R(y,z)$

• The atom R(y, z) cannot be removed (why?)

Task

Optimize the following conjunctive query:

 $Q(x,z) \leftarrow R(x,y), R(y,w), R(y,z)$

Solution (continued)

• Since P is equivalent and "more optimal", we now continue with optimizing query P.

 $P(x,z) \gets R(x,y), R(y,z)$

- The atom R(y, z) cannot be removed (why?)
- We cannot remove any other atom. Therefore, P is the minimal query equivalent to Q.

Task

Consider the following relational schema, containing information on employees (Emp), departments (Dept), and finances (Finance):

- Emp(eid, did, sal, hobby)
- Dept(did, dname, floor, phone)
- Finance(did, budget, sales, expenses)

For the following SQL statement:

- 1. Translate the query into the relational algebra.
- 2. Remove redundant joins from the select-project-join subexpressions in the obtained logical query plan.
- 3. By means of the algebraic laws, further optimize the obtained expression.

Task (continued)

```
SELECT MAX(E.sal)
FROM Emp E
WHERE E.eid IN
(SELECT E1.eid
FROM Emp E1, Emp E2, Dept D1, Dept D2, Finance F
WHERE F.budget = 100 AND E1.did = D1.did AND E1.did = F.did
AND E2.did = D2.did AND E2.did = F.did
AND D1.floor = 1 AND D2.dname = 'CID'
)
GROUP BY E.hobby
```

Solution: translation into the relational algebra

First, we normalize the query to a form with only EXISTS and NOT EXISTS subqueries:

```
SELECT MAX(E.sal)
FROM Emp E
WHERE EXISTS
(SELECT E1.eid
FROM Emp E1, Emp E2, Dept D1, Dept D2, Finance F
WHERE F.budget = 100 AND E1.did = D1.did AND E1.did = F.did
AND E2.did = D2.did AND E2.did = F.did
AND D1.floor = 1 AND D2.dname = 'CID'
AND E1.eid = E.eid
)
GROUP BY E.hobby
```

Solution: translation into the relational algebra

Then, we translate the subquery in the following expression e_1 :

 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E_1.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{did},E.\texttt{sal},E.\texttt{hobby}} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{F.\texttt{budget}=100 \land E_1.\texttt{did}=D_1.\texttt{did} \land E_1.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did}} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E_2.\texttt{did}=D_2.\texttt{did} \land E_2.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did} \land D_1.\texttt{floor}=1 \land D_2.\texttt{dname}='\texttt{CID}' \land E_1.\texttt{eid}=E.\texttt{eid}} \\ & \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_1}(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_2}(\texttt{Emp}) \\ & \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_1}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_2}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_F(\texttt{Finance})\right) \end{aligned}$

And we translate the FROM-WHERE part of the outer query without subqueries:

$$e_2 := \boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp})$$

The decorrelation of the subquery gives:

$$e_3 := \hat{e_2} \Join \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\texttt{eid}, E.\texttt{did}, E.\texttt{sal}, E.\texttt{hobby}}(e_1)$$

Notice that $\hat{e_2}$ is empty! Therefore, the translation of the complete query is:

 $e_4 := \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E.\texttt{hobby},\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{did},E.\texttt{sal},E.\texttt{hobby}}(e_1)$

Solution: translation into the relational algebra

This leads to (after merging projections):

 $\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E.\texttt{hobby},\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{did},E.\texttt{sal},E.\texttt{hobby}} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{F.\texttt{budget}=100 \, \land \, E_1.\texttt{did}=D_1.\texttt{did} \, \land \, E_1.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did}} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E_2.\texttt{did}=D_2.\texttt{did} \, \land \, E_2.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did} \, \land \, D_1.\texttt{floor}=1 \, \land \, D_2.\texttt{dname}='\texttt{CID}' \, \land \, E_1.\texttt{eid}=E.\texttt{eid}} \\ & \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_1}(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_2}(\texttt{Emp}) \\ & \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_1}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_2}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_F(\texttt{Finance})\right) \end{aligned}$

Solution: translation into the relational algebra

The query only contains *one* (maximal) select-project-join subexpression:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{did},E.\texttt{sal},E.\texttt{hobby}} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{F.\texttt{budget}=100 \, \land \, E_1.\texttt{did}=D_1.\texttt{did} \, \land \, E_1.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did}} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E_2.\texttt{did}=D_2.\texttt{did} \, \land \, E_2.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did} \, \land \, D_1.\texttt{floor}=1 \, \land \, D_2.\texttt{dname}='\texttt{CID'} \, \land \, E_1.\texttt{eid}=E.\texttt{eid}} \\ & \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_1}(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_2}(\texttt{Emp}) \\ & \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_1}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_2}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_F(\texttt{Finance})\right) \end{aligned}$$

To remove redundant joins, we translate it to a conjunctive query:

$$\begin{split} Q_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow & \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Emp}(c_1, b_2, c_3, c_4), \\ & \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \\ & \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{split}$$

Solution: removal of redundant joins

$$\begin{split} Q_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow & \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Emp}(c_1, b_2, c_3, c_4), \\ & \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \\ & \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{split}$$

• We cannot remove $\text{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $\text{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4)$ (why?)

Solution: removal of redundant joins

$$\begin{split} Q_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow & \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Emp}(c_1, b_2, c_3, c_4), \\ & \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \\ & \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{split}$$

- We cannot remove $\text{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $\text{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4)$ (why?)
- We check whether $\text{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ can be removed. To this end, we build the canonical database of Q_1 without this atom:

$$D_2 = \{ \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(c_1, b_2, c_3, c_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \}$$

Note that $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \notin Q_1(D_2)$ (why?), and it ensues that the atom cannot be removed from Q_1 .

Solution: removal of redundant joins

$$\begin{split} Q_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow & \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Emp}(c_1, b_2, c_3, c_4), \\ & \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \\ & \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{split}$$

• We check whether $\text{Emp}(c_1, b_2, c_3, c_4)$ can be removed. To this end, we build the canonical database of Q_1 without this atom:

$$\begin{split} D_3 &= \{\texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4)\} \end{split}$$

This time, $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in Q_1(D_3)$. Let Q_3 be the conjunctive query Q_1 without $\text{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$. We have just shown that $Q_3 \equiv Q_1$, and therefore that this atom can be removed. We can continue the optimization procedure with Q_3 .

Solution: removal of redundant joins

$$\begin{split} Q_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{split}$$

• We check whether $Dept(b_2, d_1, 1, d_4)$ can be removed. To this end, we build the canonical database of Q_3 without this atom:

$$\begin{split} D_4 &= \{\texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4)\} \end{split}$$

Observe that $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \notin Q_3(D_4)$ (why?) and it ensues that the atom cannot be removed from Q_3 .

Solution: removal of redundant joins

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{aligned}$$

• We check whether $Dept(b_2, 'CID', e_3, e_4)$ can be removed. To this end, we build the canonical database of Q_3 without this atom:

$$D_5 = \{ \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \}$$

Observe that $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \notin Q_3(D_5)$ (why?) and it ensues that the atom cannot be removed from Q_3 .

Solution: removal of redundant joins

Thus, the optimized conjunctive query is:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \leftarrow \texttt{Emp}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \texttt{Emp}(a_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \texttt{Dept}(b_2, d_2, 1, d_4), \\ \texttt{Dept}(b_2, \texttt{'CID'}, e_3, e_4), \texttt{Finance}(b_2, 100, f_3, f_4) \end{aligned}$$

And $\rho_{E_2}(\text{Emp})$ can be removed from the select-project-join expression (as well as the corresponding selections). The translation of Q_3 into a select-project-join expression is indeed:

 $oldsymbol{\pi}_{E. extsf{eid},E. extsf{did},E. extsf{sal},E. extsf{hobby}}$

 σ

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\sigma}_{F.\texttt{budget}=100 \land E_1.\texttt{did}=D_1.\texttt{did} \land E_1.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did} \land D_1.\texttt{floor}=1} \\ \mathbf{\sigma}_{D_2.\texttt{did}=E_1.\texttt{did} \land D_2.\texttt{dname}=\texttt{'CID'} \land E_1.\texttt{eid}=E.\texttt{eid}} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_1}(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_1}(\texttt{Dept}) \\ & \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_2}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_F(\texttt{Finance})) \end{split}$$

Solution: application of the algebraic laws

The logical query plan for the whole SQL query where we removed the redundant joins is:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E.\texttt{hobby},\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{did},E.\texttt{sal},E.\texttt{hobby}} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{F.\texttt{budget}=100 \land E_1.\texttt{did}=D_1.\texttt{did} \land E_1.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did} \land D_1.\texttt{floor}=1} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D_2.\texttt{did}=E_1.\texttt{did} \land D_2.\texttt{dname}=\texttt{'CID'} \land E_1.\texttt{eid}=E.\texttt{eid}} \\ (\boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_1}(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_1}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_2}(\texttt{Dept}) \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_F(\texttt{Finance})) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply the algebraic laws. Pushing the selections gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E.\texttt{hobby},\texttt{MAX}(E.\texttt{sal})} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\texttt{eid},E.\texttt{did},E.\texttt{sal},E.\texttt{hobby}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E_1.\texttt{eid}=E.\texttt{eid}} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\rho}_E(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E_1.\texttt{did}=F.\texttt{did}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D_2.\texttt{did}=E_1.\texttt{did}} \\ & (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{E_1.\texttt{did}=D_1.\texttt{did}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_1}(\texttt{Emp}) \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D_1.\texttt{floor}=1}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_1}(\texttt{Dept}))) \\ & \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D_2.\texttt{dname}='\texttt{CID}'} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_2}(\texttt{Dept})) \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{F.\texttt{budget}=100}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_F(\texttt{Finance})))) \end{aligned}$$

Solution (continued)

Recognizing joins:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathtt{MAX}(E.\mathtt{sal})} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E.\mathtt{hobby},\mathtt{MAX}(E.\mathtt{sal})} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{E.\mathtt{eid},E.\mathtt{did},E.\mathtt{sal},E.\mathtt{hobby} \\ \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E}(\mathtt{Emp}) & \bowtie \\ E_{1}.\mathtt{eid}=E.\mathtt{eid}} (((\boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_{1}}(\mathtt{Emp}) & \bowtie \\ E_{1}.\mathtt{did}=D_{1}.\mathtt{did}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D_{1}.\mathtt{floor}=1} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_{1}}(\mathtt{Dept})) \\ & \bowtie \\ E_{1}.\mathtt{did}=D_{2}.\mathtt{did}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D_{2}.\mathtt{dname}='\mathtt{CID}'}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_{2}}(\mathtt{Dept}))) & \bowtie \\ E_{1}.\mathtt{did}=F.\mathtt{did}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{F.\mathtt{budget}=100}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{F}(\mathtt{Finance}))) \end{aligned}$$

Pushing the projections:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\text{MAX}(E.\text{sal})} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{E.\text{hobby},\text{MAX}(E.\text{sal})} \pi_{E.\text{sal},E.\text{hobby}}(\pi_{E.\text{eid},E.\text{sal},E.\text{hobby}} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{E}(\text{Emp}) \\ & \underset{E_{1}.\text{eid}=E.\text{eid}}{\rtimes} \pi_{E_{1}.\text{eid}}(((\pi_{E_{1}.\text{did},E_{1}.\text{eid}}(\pi_{E_{1}.\text{did},E_{1}.\text{eid}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{E_{1}}(\text{Emp}) \\ & \underset{E_{1}.\text{did}=D_{1}.\text{did}}{\rtimes} \pi_{D_{1}.\text{did}} \sigma_{D_{1}.\text{floor}=1}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_{1}}(\text{Dept}))) \\ & \underset{E_{1}.\text{did}=D_{2}.\text{did}}{\rtimes} \pi_{D_{2}.\text{did}} \sigma_{D_{2}.\text{dname}='\text{CID}'} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{D_{2}}(\text{Dept}))) \\ & \underset{E_{1}.\text{did}=D_{2}.\text{did}}{\rtimes} \pi_{F.\text{did}} \sigma_{F.\text{budget}=100}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{F}(\text{Finance})))) \end{aligned}$$