Physical Operators

Scanning, sorting, merging, hashing
Physical Operators

SQL → Logical query plan → Logical plan optimization → Optimized logical query plan → Physical plan selection → Physical query plan → Execution Engine → Result

Translation

"Intermediate code"

"Machine code"

Statistics and Metadata

Physical Data Storage
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A logical query plan is essentially an execution tree

- To obtain a physical query plan we need to assign to each logical operator a physical implementation algorithm. We call such algorithms physical operators.
- In this lecture we study the various physical operators, together with their cost.
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Many implementations

- Each logical operator has multiple possible implementation algorithms
- No implementation is always better the others
- Hence we need to compare the alternatives on a case-by-case basis based on their costs
The I/O model of computation

The I/O model

- Data is stored on disk, which is divided into blocks of bytes (typically 4 kilobytes) (each block can contain many data items)
- The CPU can only work on data items that are in memory, not on items on disk
- Therefore, data must first be transferred from disk to memory
- Data is transferred from disk to memory (and back) in whole blocks at the time
- The disk can hold $D$ blocks, at most $M$ blocks can be in memory at the same time (with $M << D$).
The I/O model of computation

- In-memory computation is fast (memory access ≈ $10^{-8}s$)
- Disk-access is slow (disk access: ≈ $10^{-3}s$)
- Hence: execution time is dominated by disk I/O

We will use the number of I/O operations required as cost metric
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To estimate the costs we will use the following parameters:

- $B(R)$: the number of blocks that $R$ occupies on disk
- $T(R)$: the number of tuples in relation $R$
- $V(R, A_1, \ldots, A_n)$: the number of tuples in $R$ that have distinct values for $A_1, \ldots, A_n$
  
  (i.e., $|\delta(\pi_{A_1,\ldots,A_n}(R))|$)
- $M$: the number of main memory buffers available

Statistics and the system catalog

- The first three parameters are statistics that a DBMS stores in its system catalog.
- These statistics are regularly collected
  
  (e.g., when required, at a scheduled time, \ldots)
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
Physical Operators

Bag union \( R \cup_B S \)

- Step 1: reserve 1 buffer frame, call this \( N \)
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

- Step 1: reserve 1 buffer frame, call this $N$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block

$N$

$\begin{align*}
1 & \quad 2 & \quad 3 & \quad 4 & \quad 13 & \quad 9 \\
6 & \quad 4 & \quad 8 & \quad 2 & \quad 6 & \quad 12
\end{align*}$
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

- Load 1st block of $R$ into $N$, output all of its elements
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**Bag union** $R \cup_B S$

- Load 1st block of $R$ into $N$, output all of its elements
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**Bag union** \( R \cup_B S \)

1. Load 1st block of \( R \) into \( N \), output all of its elements.

---

Output:

1

Relation \( R = \text{green} \)

Relation \( S = \text{blue} \)

1 integer per block
**Physical Operators**

**Bag union** \( R \cup_B S \)

Output:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 13 & 9 \\
6 & 4 & 8 & 2 & 6 & 12 \\
\end{array}
\]

Relation \( R = \text{green} \)
Relation \( S = \text{blue} \)
1 integer per block

- Load 2nd block of \( R \) into \( N \), output all of its elements
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**Bag union** $R \cup_B S$

Output: 1

- Load 2nd block of $R$ into $N$, output all of its elements
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**Bag union** \( R \cup_B S \)

Relation \( R = \text{green} \)
Relation \( S = \text{blue} \)

1 integer per block

Output:
1, 2

- Load 2nd block of \( R \) into \( N \), output all of its elements
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

Output:
1, 2

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block

• ... and repeat this for every block of $R$
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**Bag union** $R \cup_B S$

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6

• ... and repeat this for every block of $R$. 

Relation $R =$ green
Relation $S =$ blue
1 integer per block
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6

• Load 1st block of $S$ into $N$, output all of its elements
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**Bag union** $R \cup_B S$

Output: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6

- Load 1st block of $S$ into $N$, output all of its elements

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
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**Bag union** \( R \cup_B S \)

Output:

1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13

- Load 1st block of \( S \) into \( N \), output all of its elements
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

Output: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 13

... and repeat this until the last block of $S$
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Bag union $R \cup_B S$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
Output:
  1, 2, 3, 4, 6
  13, 9, 6, 4, 8,
  2, 12

• ... and repeat this until the last block of $S$
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Bag union

We can compute the bag union $R \cup_B S$ as follows:

\begin{verbatim}
for each block $B_R$ in $R$ do
  load $B_R$ into buffer $N$;
  for each tuple $t_R$ in $N$ do
    output $t_R$;
for each block $B_S$ in $S$ do
  load $B_S$ into buffer $N$;
  for each tuple $t_S$ in $N$ do
    output $t_S$;
\end{verbatim}

- Cost: $B(R) + B(S)$ I/O operations (we never count the output-cost)
- Requires that $M \geq 1$ (i.e., it can always be used)
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames
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One-pass set union $R \cup S$

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

- Load all of $R$’s blocks into memory (using $B(R)$ buffer frames) and output their elements.
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= occupied frame
= free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

• Load all of $R$'s blocks into memory (using $B(R)$ buffer frames) and output their elements.
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

- Load all of $R$’s blocks into memory (using $B(R)$ buffer frames) and output their elements.
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

- Load 1st block of $S$ (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing $R$. 
### Physical Operators

**One-pass set union** \( R \cup_S S \)

**Output:**
1, 2, 3, 4, 6

= occupied frame
= free frame

**Assumption:** we have \( B(R) + 1 \) free buffer frames

- Load 1st block of \( S \) (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing \( R \).
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

• Load 1st block of $S$ (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing $R$. 

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13
= occupied frame
= free frame

1 2 3 4 13 9
6 4 8 2 6 12
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One-pass set union \( R \cup_S S \)

Output:

1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13

Assumption: we have \( B(R) + 1 \) free buffer frames

• Load 2nd block of \( S \) (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing \( R \).
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:

1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

• Load 2nd block of $S$ (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing $R$. 

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
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One-pass set union \( R \cup_S S \)

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13, 9

Assumption: we have \( B(R) + 1 \) free buffer frames

- Load 2nd block of \( S \) (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing \( R \).
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:

- 1, 2, 3, 4, 6
- 13, 9

= occupied frame
= free frame

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

- Load 3rd block of $S$ (using 1 buffer frame), and output all of its elements that do not occur in the frames containing $R$. 

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13, 9

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$

1 integer per block

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13, 9

= occupied frame
= free frame

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

... and continue doing this for until the end of $S$ is reached.
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One-pass set union $R \cup_S S$

Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13, 9, 8, 12

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
1 integer per block
Output:
1, 2, 3, 4, 6
13, 9, 8, 12

= occupied frame
= free frame

Assumption: we have $B(R) + 1$ free buffer frames

... and continue doing this for until the end of $S$ is reached.
Physical Operators

One-pass set union

Assume that $M - 1 \geq B(R)$. We can then compute the set union $R \cup_S S$ as follows ($R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets themselves)

1. **load** $R$ into memory buffers $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$;
2. **for** each tuple $t_R$ in $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$ **do**
   - **output** $t_R$
3. **for** each block $B_S$ in $S$ **do**
   - **load** $B_S$ into buffer $N_0$;
   - **for** each tuple $t_S$ in $N_0$ **do**
     - **if** $t_S$ does not occur in $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$
     - **output** $t_S$

- **Cost:** $B(R) + B(S)$ I/O operations (ignoring output-cost)
- **Note** that it also costs time to check whether $t_S$ occurs in $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$. By using a suitable main-memory data structure this can be done in $O(n)$ or $O(n \log n)$ time. We ignore this cost.
- **Requires** $B(R) \leq M - 1$
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:

- = occupied frame
- = free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:

- Relation $R$ = green
- Relation $S$ = blue
- 2 integers per block

Output: 

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
5 & 10 & 25 & 28 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

- = occupied frame
- = free frame

Relation $R$ = green
Relation $S$ = blue
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. **Iterate synchronously** over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:

- Relation $R$ = green
- Relation $S$ = blue
- 2 integers per block
- Output:
  - 5
  - 10
  - 25
  - 28
  - 25
  - 28
- = occupied frame
- = free frame
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:

\[
\begin{align*}
5 & \quad 10 \\
25 & \quad 28
\end{align*}
\]

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
2 integers per block

\[
\begin{align*}
5 & \quad 15 & \quad 25 & \quad 35 & \quad 45 & \quad 55 \\
10 & \quad 20 & \quad 30 & \quad 40 & \quad 50 & \quad 60 \\
25 & \quad 32 & \quad 38 & \quad 40 & \quad 46 \\
28 & \quad 35 & \quad 39 & \quad 45
\end{align*}
\]
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup_S S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$. 

Output:

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
2 integers per block

= occupied frame
= free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output: 5, 10

Relation $R$ = green
Relation $S$ = blue
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output: 5, 10

= occupied frame
= free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union \( R \cup S \) as follows (again \( R \) and \( S \) are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort \( R \)
2. Sort \( S \)
3. **Iterate synchronously** over \( R \) and \( S \), at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of \( R \) and \( S \).

Output: 5, 10, 15

Relation \( R = \text{green} \)
Relation \( S = \text{blue} \)
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output: 5, 10, 15
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union \( R \cup S \) as follows (again \( R \) and \( S \) are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort \( R \)
2. Sort \( S \)
3. **Iterate synchronously** over \( R \) and \( S \), at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of \( R \) and \( S \).

Output: 5, 10, 15, 20

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
15 \\
20 \\
25 \\
28 \\
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
5 \\
10 \\
25 \\
28 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
5 & 15 & 25 & 35 & 45 & 55 & 60 \\
10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 60 & 70 \\
25 & 32 & 38 & 40 & 46 & 50 & 60 \\
28 & 35 & 39 & 45 & 50 & 60 & 70 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
= occupied \ frame \\
= free \ frame
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

Relation \( R = \text{green} \)
Relation \( S = \text{blue} \)
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union \( R \cup_s S \) as follows (again \( R \) and \( S \) are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort \( R \)
2. Sort \( S \)
3. Iterate synchronously over \( R \) and \( S \), at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of \( R \) and \( S \).

Output:
5, 10, 15, 20

Relation \( R \) = green
Relation \( S \) = blue
2 integers per block

\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
25 & 30 & \text{occupied frame} & \text{free frame} \\
25 & 28 & \text{occupied frame} & \text{free frame}
\end{array} \]
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union \( R \cup S \) as follows (again \( R \) and \( S \) are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort \( R \)
2. Sort \( S \)
3. Iterate synchronously over \( R \) and \( S \), at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of \( R \) and \( S \).

Output:
5, 10, 15, 20, 25

Relation \( R \) = green
Relation \( S \) = blue
2 integers per block
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**Sort-based set union**

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. **Iterate synchronously** over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:

5, 10, 15, 20, 25

 Relation $R = \text{green}$
 Relation $S = \text{blue}$
 2 integers per block

\[= \text{occupied frame} \quad \square = \text{free frame} \]
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**Sort-based set union**

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. **Iterate synchronously** over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:

| 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 28 |

Relation $R = \text{green}$
Relation $S = \text{blue}$

2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union \( R \cup_S S \) as follows (again \( R \) and \( S \) are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort \( R \)
2. Sort \( S \)
3. **Iterate synchronously** over \( R \) and \( S \), at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of \( R \) and \( S \).

Output:

\[
5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 28
\]

Relation \( R = \) green
Relation \( S = \) blue
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$.

Output:
5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 28, 30

Relation $R$ = green
Relation $S$ = blue
2 integers per block
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Sort-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows (again $R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets):

1. Sort $R$
2. Sort $S$
3. Iterate synchronously over $R$ and $S$, at each point loading 1 block of each relation in memory and inspecting 1 tuple of $R$ and $S$. Assume that we are currently at tuple $t_R$ in $R$ and tuple $t_S$ in $S$:
   • If $t_R < t_S$ then we output $t_R$ and move $t_R$ to the next tuple in $R$ (possibly by loading the next block of $R$ into memory).
   • If $t_R > t_S$ then we output $t_S$ and move $t_S$ to the next tuple in $S$ (possibly by loading the next block of $S$ into memory).
   • If $t_R = t_S$ then we output $t_R$ and move $t_R$ to the next tuple in $R$ and $t_S$ to the next tuple in $S$ (possibly by loading the next block)
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by any suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  - In the first pass we read $M$ blocks at the same time from the input relation, sort these by means of a main-memory sorting algorithm, and write the sorted resulting sublist to disk. After the first pass we hence have $B(R)/M$ sorted sublists of $M$ blocks each.

```
Relation R of B(R) blocks
```

```
Pass 1
```

```
B(R)/M sorted “runs” of M blocks each
```
Physical Operators

Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  - In the 2nd pass, we merge the first $M$ sublists from the first pass into a single sublist of $M^2$ blocks. We do so by iterating synchronously over these $M$ sublists, keeping 1 block of each list into memory during this iteration.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{B(R)/M sorted “runs” of M blocks each} \\
\text{of M blocks each} \\
\text{of M blocks each} \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{B(R)/M sorted “runs” of M^2 blocks each} \\
\text{of M^2 blocks each} \\
\text{of M^2 blocks each} \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
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Sort-based set union

• Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  ○ We then merge the next $M$ sublists into a single sublist, and continue until we have treated each sublist resulting from the first pass.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B(R)/M sorted “runs” of M blocks each</th>
<th>B(R)/M$^2$ sorted “runs” of M$^2$ blocks each</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  - After the second pass we hence have $B(R)/M^2$ sorted sublists of $M^2$ blocks each.
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  - In the 3rd pass, we merge the first $M$ sublists from the 2nd pass (each of $M^2$ blocks) into a single sublist of $M^3$ blocks. We do so by iterating synchronously over these $M$ sublists, keeping 1 block of each list into memory during this iteration.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
B(R)/M^2 \text{ sorted "runs" of } M^2 \text{ blocks each} \\
\end{array}
\quad \quad \\
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
B(R)/M^3 \text{ sorted "runs" of } M^3 \text{ blocks each} \\
\end{array}
\]

Pass 3
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  - We then merge the next $M$ sublists into a single sublist, and continue until we have treated each sublist resulting from the 2nd pass.

```
B(R)/M^2 sorted "runs" of M^2 blocks each
```

```
B(R)/M^3 sorted "runs" of M^3 blocks each
```
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:
  - After the 3rd pass we hence have \( B(R)/M^3 \) sorted sublists of \( M^3 \) blocks each.

```
  B(R)/M^2 sorted "runs" of M^2 blocks each

  ...     ...  

  Pass 3  

  ...     ...

  B(R)/M^3 sorted "runs" of M^3 blocks each
```
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by **Multiway Merge-Sort**:
  - We keep doing new passes until we reach a single sorted list.

![Diagram showing the process of multiway merge-sort with multiple sorted runs merging into a single sorted list.]

At most M sorted “runs”  
1 sorted run of B(R) blocks
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Sort-based set union

- Sorting can in principle be done by suitable algorithm, but is usually done by Multiway Merge-Sort:

  1. In the first pass we read $M$ blocks at the same time from the input relation, sort these by means of a main-memory sorting algorithm, and write the sorted resulting sublist to disk. After the first pass we hence have $B(R)/M$ sorted sublists of $M$ blocks each.

  2. In the following passes we keep reading $M$ blocks from these sublists and merge them into larger sorted sublists. (After the second pass we hence have $B(R)/M^2$ sorted sublists of $M^2$ blocks each, after the third pass $B(R)/M^3$ sorted sublists, \ldots)

  3. We repeat until we obtain a single sorted sublist.

- What is the complexity of this?
  1. In each pass we read and write the entire input relation exactly once.
  2. There are $\lceil \log_M B(R) \rceil$ passes
  3. The total cost is hence $2B(R) \lfloor \log_M B(R) \rfloor$ I/O operations.
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Sort-based set union

- The costs of sort-based set union:
  1. Sorting $R$: $2B(R) \left\lceil \log_M B(R) \right\rceil$ I/O’s
  2. Sorting $S$: $2B(S) \left\lceil \log_M B(S) \right\rceil$ I/O’s
  3. Synchronized iteration: $B(R) + B(S)$ I/O’s

  **In Total:**
  
  $$2B(R) \left\lceil \log_M B(R) \right\rceil + 2B(S) \left\lceil \log_M B(S) \right\rceil + B(R) + B(S)$$

- Uses $M$ memory-buffers during sorting
- Requires 2 memory-buffers for synchronized iteration
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Sort-based set union

Remark: the “synchronized iteration” phase of sort-based set union is very similar to the merge phase of multiway merge-sort. Sometimes it is possible to combine the last merge phase with the synchronized iteration, and avoid $2B(R) + 2B(S)$ I/Os:

1. Sort $R$, but do not execute the last merge phase. $R$ is hence still divided in $1 < l \leq M$ sorted sublists.
2. Sort $S$, but do not execute the last merge phase. $S$ is hence still divided in $1 < k \leq M$ sorted sublists.
3. If $l + k < M$ then we can use the $M$ available buffers to load the first block of each sublist of $R$ and $S$ in memory.
4. Then iterate synchronously through these sublists: at each point search the “smallest” (according to the sort order) record in the $l + k$ buffers, and output that. Move to the next record in the buffers when required. When all records from a certain buffer are processed, load the next block from the corresponding sublist.
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Sort-based set union

The cost of the optimized sort-based set union algorithm is as follows:

1. Sort $R$, but do not execute the last merge phase.

\[ 2B(R)(\lceil \log_M B(R) \rceil - 1) \]

2. Sort $S$, but do not execute the last merge phase.

\[ 2B(S)(\lceil \log_M B(S) \rceil - 1) \]

3. Synchronized iteration through the sublists: $B(R) + B(S)$ I/O’s

Total:

\[
2B(R) \lceil \log_M B(R) \rceil + 2B(S) \lceil \log_M B(S) \rceil - B(R) - B(S)
\]

We hence save $2B(R) + 2B(S)$ I/O’s.
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Sort-based set union

Note that this optimization is only possible if \( k + l \leq M \).

Observe that \( k = \left\lceil \frac{B(R)}{M \lceil \log_M B(R) \rceil - 1} \right\rceil \) and \( l = \left\lceil \frac{B(S)}{M \lceil \log_M B(S) \rceil - 1} \right\rceil \).

In other words, this optimization is only possible if:

\[
\left\lceil \frac{B(R)}{M \lceil \log_M B(R) \rceil - 1} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{B(S)}{M \lceil \log_M B(S) \rceil - 1} \right\rceil \leq M
\]
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Sort-based set union

Example: we have 15 buffers available, \( B(R) = 100 \), and \( B(S') = 120 \).

- Number of passes required to sort \( R \) completely: \( \lceil \log_M B(R) \rceil = 2 \)
- Number of passes required to sort \( S \) completely: \( \lceil \log_M B(S) \rceil = 2 \)
- Can the optimization be applied?

\[
\left\lceil \frac{100}{15} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{120}{15} \right\rceil = 15 \leq M
\]

- The optimized sort-based set union hence costs:

\[
2 \times 100 \times 2 + 2 \times 120 \times 2 - 100 - 120 = 660
\]
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Sort-based set union

• The book states that in practice 2 passes usually suffice to completely sort a relation.

• If we assume that $R$ and $S$ can be sorted in two passes (given the available memory $M$) then we can instantiate our cost formula as follows:
  - Without optimization: $5B(R) + 5B(S)$
  - With optimization: $3B(R) + 3B(S)$, but in this case we require sufficient memory:
    $$\left\lfloor \frac{B(R)}{M} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{B(S)}{M} \right\rfloor \leq M$$
    or (approximately) $B(R) + B(S) \leq M^2$.
    → This is the formula that you will find in the book!

• Note that the book focuses on the optimized algorithm in the case where two passes suffice: the so-called “two-pass, sort-based set union”. It only sketches the generalization to multiple passes.
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Hash-based set union

We can also alternatively compute the set union $R \cup S$ as follows ($R$ and $S$ are assumed to be sets, and we assume that $B(R) \leq B(S)$):

1. Partition, by means of hash function(s), $R$ in buckets of at most $M - 1$ blocks each. Let $k$ be the resulting number of buckets, and let $R_i$ be the relation formed by the records in bucket $i$.

2. Partition, by means of the same hash function(s) as above, $S$ in $k$ buckets. Let $S_i$ be the relation formed by the records in bucket $i$.

   **Observe:** the records in $R_i$ and $S_i$ have the same hash value! A record $t$ hence occurs in both $R$ and $S$ if, and only if, there is a bucket $i$ such that $t$ occurs in both $R_i$ and $S_i$.

3. We can hence compute the set union by calculating the set union of $R_i$ and $S_i$, for every $i \in 1, \ldots, k$. Since every $R_i$ contains at most $M - 1$ blocks, we can do so using the one-pass algorithm.

**Note:** in contrast to the sort-based set union, the output of a hash-based set union is unsorted!
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Hash-based set union

How do we partition $R$ in buckets of at most $M - 1$ blocks?

1. Using $M$ buffers, we first hash $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets.
2. Subsequently we partition each bucket separately in $M - 1$ new buckets, by using a new hash function distinct from the one used in the previous step (why?)
3. We continue doing so until the obtained buckets consists of at most $M - 1$ blocks.
Physical Operators

Hashing \( R \) into \( M - 1 \) buckets using \( M \) buffers

Relation \( R = \) green
2 integers per block

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
5 & 15 & 25 & 35 & 45 & 55 & \\
10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 60 &
\end{array}
\]

= occupied frame

= free frame

Relation \( R = \) green
2 integers per block
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block

= occupied frame
= free frame

Buffer for elements that hash to bucket 1

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Physical Operators

Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers
Physical Operators

Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Buffer for loading $R$ from disk, 1 block at a time

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block

= occupied frame

= free frame
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

M = 3

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block

occupied frame
free frame

5 10 20 30 40 50 60
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block

$M = 3$

5
10

= occupied frame

= free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block

$M = 3$

- = occupied frame
- = free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

M = 3

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block

= occupied frame
= free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

M = 3

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue

$M = 3$

= occupied frame
= free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$

5 15 25 35 45 55
10 20 30 40 50 60

5
15
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Hashing \( R \) into \( M - 1 \) buckets using \( M \) buffers

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Relation } R = \text{green} \\
\text{2 integers per block} \\
\text{Bucket 1 = Blue}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
M = 3 \\
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
10 & 15 & 20 & 25 & 30 & 35 & 40 & 45 & 50 & 55 \\
5 & 10 & 15 & 25 & 35 & 45 & 55 & 60 \\
5 & 15 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{= occupied frame} \\
\text{= free frame}
\end{array}
\]
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = green$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

$M = 3$

= occupied frame
= free frame

5 10 15 20 30 40 45 50 60

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

$M = 3$

= occupied frame
= free frame

25 30 35
10 15 20 25 35 40

5 15 25 35
10 20
Physical Operators

Hashing \( R \) into \( M - 1 \) buckets using \( M \) buffers

M = 3

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline
 & 5 & 15 & 20 & 25 & 30 & 35 & 40 & 45 & 50 & 55 \\
\hline
5 & 10 & 20 & 25 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 55 & \hline
15 & 25 & 35 & 40 & 50 & 55 & \hline
10 & 20 & \hline
\end{array}
\]

Relation \( R = \text{green} \)
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

\( \equiv \) occupied frame
\( \equiv \) free frame
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

$M = 3$

= occupied frame
= free frame

5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55

5 10 15 35

284
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

- $R = \text{green}$
- 2 integers per block
- Bucket 1 = Blue
- Bucket 2 = Red

$M = 3$

- = occupied frame
- = free frame

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

- Relation $R = \text{green}$
- 2 integers per block
- Bucket 1 = Blue
- Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame

5 10 15 25 30 35 45 50 55

5 25
15 35
10 30
20 40
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R =$ green
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

\[ \begin{array}{cc}
45 & 50 \\
55 & \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \begin{array}{cccc}
5 & 15 & 25 & 35 & 45 & 55 \\
10 & 20 & 30 & 40 & 50 & 60 \\
\end{array} \]
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame
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Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame
Physical Operators

Hashing $R$ into $M - 1$ buckets using $M$ buffers

$M = 3$

Relation $R = \text{green}$
2 integers per block
Bucket 1 = Blue
Bucket 2 = Red

= occupied frame
= free frame

50 60
55
60

5 15 25 45 55
10 20 30 40 50 60
15 35 55
10 30 50
20 40 60
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Hash-based set union

What is the cost of partitioning?

1. Assuming that the hash function(s) distribute the records uniformly, we have $M - 1$ buckets of $\frac{B(R)}{M-1}$ blocks after the first pass, $(M - 1)^2$ buckets of $\frac{B(R)}{(M-1)^2}$ blocks after the second pass, and so on. Hence, if we reach buckets of at most $M - 1$ blocks after $k$ passes, $k$ must satisfy:

$$\frac{B(R)}{(M - 1)^k} \leq M - 1$$

The minimal value of $k$ that satisfies this is hence $\lceil \log_{M-1} B(R) - 1 \rceil$

2. In every pass we read and write $R$ once.

Total cost:

$$2B(R) \lceil \log_{M-1} B(R) - 1 \rceil$$
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Hash-based set union

What is the costs of calculating hash-based set union?

1. Partition $R$: $2B(R) \lceil \log_{M-1} B(R) - 1 \rceil$ I/O’s
2. Partition $S$: $2B(S) \lceil \log_{M-1} B(R) - 1 \rceil$ I/O’s

Because we “only” need to partition $S$ in as many buckets as $R$.

3. The one-pass set union of each $R_i$ and $S_i$: $B(R) + B(S)$

Total:

$$2B(R) \lceil \log_{M-1} B(R) - 1 \rceil + 2B(S) \lceil \log_{M-1} B(R) - 1 \rceil + B(R) + B(S)$$
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Hash-based set union

- The book states that in practice one level of partitioning suffices.
- The book hence focuses on the scenario where we only need two passes: “two-pass, hash-based set union” and only sketches the generalization to multiple passes.

  The algorithm is called **two-pass** because we need 1 pass through the data to partition it, and another one to do the pairwise single-pass union of the buckets.

- Under the assumption that one level of partitioning suffices, our cost formula hence specializes to the cost: \(3B(R) + 3B(S)\)

- **But**: one level of partitioning only suffices if \(\frac{B(R)}{M-1} \leq M - 1\), or (approximately) \(B(R) \leq M^2\) (where \(R\) is the smaller relation of \(R\) and \(S\))

  → These are the formulas introduced in the book!
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Other operations on relations

To compute (bag) intersection and (bag) difference we can modify the previous algorithms. The costs remain the same.

Also the removal of duplicates can be done using the same techniques.

→ See book!
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One-pass Join

Assume that $M - 1 \geq B(R)$. We can then compute $R(X, Y) \Join S(Y, Z)$ as follows:

1. **load** $R$ into memory buffers $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$
2. **for** each block $B_S$ in $S$ **do**
   1. **load** $B_S$ into buffer $N_0$
   2. **for** each tuple $t_S$ in $N_0$ **do**
       1. **for** each tuple matching tuple $t_R$ in $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$ **do**
           1. **output** $t_R \Join t_S$

- **Cost:** $B(R) + B(S)$ I/O operations
- There is also the cost of finding the matching tuples of $t_S$ in $N_1, \ldots, N_{B(R)}$. By using a suitable main-memory data structure this can be done in $O(n)$ or $O(n \log n)$ time. We ignore this cost.
- **Requires** $B(R) \leq M - 1$
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Nested Loop Join

We can also alternatively compute $R(X, Y) \Join S(Y, Z)$ as follows:

for each segment $G$ of $M - 1$ blocks of $R$ do
  load $G$ into buffers $N_1, \ldots, N_{M-1}$;
  for each block $B_S$ in $S$ do
    load $B_S$ into buffer $N_0$;
    for each tuple $t_R$ in $N_1, \ldots, N_{M-1}$ do
      for each tuple $t_S$ in $N_0$ do
        if $t_R.Y = t_S.Y$ then output $t_R \Join t_S$

Cost:

$$B(R) + B(S) \times \frac{B(R)}{M - 1}$$
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Sort-merge Join

Essentially the same algorithm as sort-based set union:

1. Sort \( R \) on attribute \( Y \)
2. Sort \( S \) on attribute \( Y \)
3. Iterated synchronously through \( R \) and \( S \), keeping 1 block of each relation in memory at all times, and at each point inspecting a single tuple from \( R \) and \( S \). Assume that we are currently at tuple \( t_R \in R \) and at tuple \( t_S \in S \).
   - If \( t_R.Y < t_S.Y \) then we advance the pointer \( t_R \) to the next tuple in \( R \) (possibly loading the next block of \( R \) if necessary).
   - If \( t_R.Y > t_S.Y \) then we advance the pointer \( t_S \) to the next tuple in \( S \) (possibly loading the next block of \( S \) if necessary)).
   - If \( t_R.Y = t_S.Y \) then we output \( t_R \Join t_S \) for each tuple \( t'_S \) following \( t_S \) (including \( t_S \) itself) that satisfies \( t'_S.Y = t_S.Y \). It is possible that we need to read the following blocks in \( S \). Finally, we advance \( t_R \) to the next tuple in \( R \), and rewind our pointer in \( S \) to \( t_S \).
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Sort-merge Join

- The cost depends on the number of tuples with equal values for \( Y \). The worst case is when all tuples in \( R \) and \( S \) have the same \( Y \)-value. The cost is then \( B(R) \times B(S) \) plus the cost for sorting \( R \) and \( S \).

- However, joins are often performed on foreign key attributes. Assume for example that attribute \( Y \) in \( S \) is a foreign key to attribute \( Y \) in \( R \). Then every value for \( Y \) in \( S \) has only one matching tuple in \( R \), and there is no need to reset the pointer in \( S \). → See book

- In this case the cost analysis is similar to the analysis for sort-based set union. Similarly, it is possible to optimize and gain \( 2B(R) + 2B(S) \) I/O operations (provided there is enough memory).

- The book also focuses on “two-pass sort-merge join”.

- Remark: When \( R \) has a BTree index on \( Y \), then it is not necessary to sort \( R \) (why?). The same holds for \( S \).
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Hash-Join

Essentially the same algorithm as hash-based set union:

1. Partition, by hashing the $Y$-attribute, $R$ into buckets of at most $M - 1$ blocks each. Let $k$ be the number of buckets required, and let $R_i$ be the relation formed by the blocks in bucket $i$.

2. Partition, by hashing the $Y$-attribute using the same has function(s) as above, $S$ into $k$ buckets. Let $S_i$ be the relation formed by the blocks in bucket $i$.

Notice: the records in $R_i$ and $S_i$ have the same hash value. A tuple $t_R \in R$ hence matches the $Y$ attribute of tuple $t_S \in S$ if, and only if, there is a bucket $i$ such that $t_R \in R_i$ and $t_S \in S_i$.

3. We can therefore compute the join by calculating the join of $R_i$ and $S_i$, for every $i \in 1, \ldots, k$. Since every $R_i$ consists of at most $M - 1$ blocks, this can be done using the one-pass algorithm.

Remark: the output of a hash-join is unsorted on the $Y$ attribute, in contrast to the output of the sort-merge join!
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Hash-Join

• The cost analysis is the same as the analysis for hash-based set union
• Again the book focuses on “two-pass hash-join”:
  one pass for the partitioning, one pass for the join
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Index-Join

Assume that $S$ has an index on attribute $Y$. We can then alternatively compute the join $R(X, Y) \Join S(Y, Z)$ by searching, for every tuple $t$ in $R$, the matching tuples in $S$ (using the index).

Cost when the index on $Y$ is not clustered:

$$B(R) + T(R) \times \left\lceil \frac{T(S)}{V(S, Y)} \right\rceil$$

Cost when the index on $Y$ is clustered:

$$B(R) + T(R) \times \left\lceil \frac{B(S)}{V(S, Y)} \right\rceil$$

→ See book

General comment

The book often omits the ceiling operations ($\lceil \cdot \rceil$) when calculating costs. In the exercises you must always include these operations!