

#### Semi-automatic Generation of Data-Intensive APIs

Shumet Tadesse

Supervisors: Prof. Oscar Romero, Prof. Cristina Gomez and Prof. Katja Hose

eBISS 2019 - Berlin, 5th July 2019

# Outline

- Context
- •Data-intensive APIs
- •Challenges
- •Proposed solution
- First Step
- •Background
- •Our approach
- •ARDI Architecture
- Next Steps
- Publications

### Context: Data-intensive APIs

- API is a set of rules, protocols and tools that enable interactions between applications
- At the same time, Businesses build APIs for their customers, or for internal use



### Context: Challenges

- However, building data-intensive APIs is time-consuming and burdensome
- Data-Intensive APIs have traditionally been created manually
- It can be reduced to the Data Integration Problem
  - needs to deal with highly heterogeneous data sources



### Challenges(2)

- Data Integration is a means to an end
  - •expressing each data source in terms of a canonical data model
- creating a single unified view of the sources, and
- mapping the data sources to the target schema



#### **Proposed Solution**

There is a need for systems to automate as much as possible the cumbersome and time-consuming task of integrating heterogeneous data



Golshan, B., Halevy, A., Mihaila, G., Tan, W.C.: Data integration: After the teenage years. In: SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGAI. pp. 101–106. ACM (2017)

#### ARDI: Automatic Generation of RDFS Models from Heterogeneous Data Sources for Data Integration



# Background

- Source data typically come in terms of schemaless data models such as XML or JSON
  - For schemaless data formats there is typically no available meta-data
- Semantic modeling languages become a key technology for data standardization and conceptualization
- •Semantic web community has overlooked the need to generate schema information from data sources automatically

# Background(1)

- Approaches for moving data sources to the Semantic Web
  - instance-level: generate a semantic representation of the data (instances)
  - schema-level: translate schema information
- Schema-level approaches, however
- •do not guarantee to produce meta-model compliant schemas,
- •do not fully cover all schema elements that we may find in semi-structured data models (e.g., arrays in JSON), and
- •ignore the RDFS meta-model

We follow a meta-modeling approach

# Our Approach: Why meta-modeling?

The capability of supporting different abstraction levels

- Helps to maximize the extent to which data can be integrated by separately expressing schema information and the data itself
  Ensures interpreteility
- Ensures interoperability

From a technical point of view:
help to minimize development time and
maximize efficiency and productivity

Chang, D.T., Kendall, E.: Metamodels for rdf schema and owl. In: MDSW 2004, Monterey, USA (2004)

## Our Approach: RDFS as a canonical data model

- Expressive
- Flexible
- Non-explicit knowledge can be inferred from explicitly asserted knowledge
- Allows meta-modelling

# Our Approach: RDFS Metamodeling



### ARDI Workflow

- Extract representations of the sources conformant to the source meta-schema
- Translate to the target schema conformant to the target meta-schema



# Running Example

•Stations: attributes with primitive, reference to an object class and array

```
{ 🖯
   "id":1,
   "type": "BIKE",
   "address":{ 😑
      "streetName": "Gran Via Corts Catalanes",
      "streetNumber": 760
   },
   "coordinates": [ 😑
      41.397952,
      2.180042
   ],
   "nearbyStations": [ 😑
      { 🖯
         "id":24.
         "type": "Metro",
         "distance": 500
      },
      { 🖯
         "id":426.
         "type": "Bus",
         "distance": 367
```

### Extraction of Schema



3

# Translation of Schema



### **Production Rules**

- Define the translation from the schema of the source data to equivalent RDFS representation
- Formalized in First Order Logic
- Represented as a logical axiom with left-hand side(LHS) and right-hand side (RHS)
  - if LHS holds RHS must hold too

## Prototype Instantiation

stations.json

#### { 🖯

"id":1, "type": "BIKE", "address":{ 😑 "streetName": "Gran Via Corts Catalanes", "streetNumber": 760 }, "coordinates": [ 😑 41.397952, 2.180042 ], "nearbyStations": [ 😑 { 🖯 "id":24, "type": "Metro", "distance": 500 }, { 🖯 "id":426, "type": "Bus", "distance": 367



# Next Steps

Refactoring automatically extracted source representations

- •resemble the physical structure of the underlying data sources
- a richer representation of domain concepts and relationships is required to integrate lately
- Alignment



Integrating and querying the source representations
Merging
Mapping



## Publications

#### Submitted:

Shumet Tadesse, Cristina Gomez, Oscar Romero, Katja Hose "ARDI: Automatic Generation of RDFS Models from Heterogeneous Data Sources" IEEE EDOC 2019

Planned:

#### Conference Paper II: Enhancing Data Integration by Refactoring Automatically Extracted Ontologies

•Authors: Shumet Tadesse, Cristina Gomez, Oscar Romero, Katja Hose

•Outlet: The International Conference on Extending Database Technology (EDBT), October 2019

#### Journal Paper: Automatically Generating data-intensive APIs

•Authors: Shumet Tadesse, Cristina Gomez, Oscar Romero, Katja Hose

•Outlet: Journal of Systems and Software (JSS), December 2019

Conference Paper III: Supporting the Automation of the Whole Data Integration Life-Cycle

•Authors: Shumet Tadesse, Cristina Gomez, Oscar Romero, Katja Hose

•Outlet: The International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC), April 2020

#### Demo Paper: Integrating Heterogeneous Data Sources for the Generation of data-intensive APIs

•Authors: Shumet Tadesse Nigatu, Cristina Gomez, Oscar Romero, Katja Hose

•Outlet: Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE), November 2020

# Thank You!