Subjective Database

Sivaporn Homvanish, Tzu-Man Wu UPC Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Big Data Seminar, eBISS 2019



Abstract

Nowadays, more and more people rely on online search and providing the results that fit user's need becomes highly important. Most of the traditional databases support only objective query. However, because the online experiential search are often subjective and imprecise, subjective query and subjective database appeared to be a possible solution to return more customized results. There are many researches developed the processes and algorithms on extracting subjective expressions and opinions, however, challenges to combine subjective query and subjective data still exist. In this poster, it provides a summary of data model, query processing, subjective database implementation from OpineDB[1] which managed subjectivity on both data and queries ends and used a schema of linguistic domains and marker summaries. Moreover, this report introduced the used of subjective database with various applied fields and its challenges.

Introduction

Subjective Data and Query

Subjective means the information which based on or influenced by personal feelings, tastes, or opinions. Both subjective data and query are different depending on personal thoughts. On the contrary, objective data and query are measurable and have the same interpretation among different people.



Designing subjective database

After discussing how to process subjective query. Next, the following steps describe how subjective data is created in OpineDB.





Subjective database OPINEDB Methods

Data Model in Subjective Database

1. Linguistic Domain(LD): It is a set of phrases that describes the attribute. e.g. Satisfaction LD { "very satisfied", "satisfied", "average", "unsatisfied", "very unsatisfied", "not satisfied", "very bad", "dislike", "disappoint", "comfortable", "happy" ...}

- 2. Marker Summary: It represents the important concept of the domain.
 - Linearly-ordered: markers have linear-scale form e.g. In-flight services satisfaction ["satisfied", "average", "unsatisfied"].
 - Categorical: markers are categorized into several types e.g. Customer on the flight["first class", "business class", "economy class"]

Processing subjective database queries



There are 3 steps to process the query

Step 1: Predicate Interpretation

This step is to match subjective attributes from the database with the value that user is specifying.

- Word embedding method
- Co-occurrence method
- Text-retrieval method
- Step 2: Compute degrees of truth

This method is used to indicate how well of the marker summary represented the query predicate.

Step 3: Fuzzy logic

Combine the degrees of truth and return results. ------



Linearly-ordered "dirty" < "average" < "clean" < "very clean" sentiment analysis

bathroom attribute {"luxurious", "modern", "old-styled"} k-means clustering Categorical

Step5: Compute marker summaries

OpineDB Aggregation Function: count the number of phrases in the reviews

room_cleanliness : [very_clean: 90.5, average: 60.5, dirty: 30, very_dirty: 20] ABC Hotel

Figure 5: Designing subjective database

Applied Fields

This section explains more about the data that can be implemented with subjective database.

- 1. Text Mining: There are many researches about text sentiment analysis and opinion mining. OpineDB also creates database schema by using customer reviews of the hotel which has text format as a source data.
- 2. Speech Recognition: A research[6] developed three top-level goals which is objective, subjective, and navigation for voice search recommendation. Then, it applied multiple goals in a single request. For example, "drama and good movies" includes one objective goal and one subjective goal ("drama": genre, "good movies": subjective goal). Then, the system will count the frequency of different recommendation goals inside their database.
- 3. Image and Video Processing: By giving example images, system determines the relative preferences by extracting image feature and store it in appropriate representation. When user query, system retrieves data in the database to find user preference and combine with user query to display ranked image as a result [7]

Challenges

- No standard schema to follow
- Apply suitable data aggregation function
- Difficult to support the variety of linguistic phrases in the specific domain
- No attribute suggestion for schema designer
- Does not consider user profile and preference
- Hard to detect some specific emotion of users

Conclusion



This report first introduced OpineDB[1] which managed subjectivity on both data end and gueries end and also used a schema of linguistic domains and marker summaries. Then, it provided three applied fields which are text mining, speech recognition and image/video processing related to subjective data. However, there are still some missing parts mentioned in Challenge can be improved. In the future, the development of subjective database will be more complete if also considering user's preference and specific emotion, applying the most suitable aggregation function and attribute suggestion mechanism for designer and building a standard schema if possible.

Reference

[1] Yuliang Li, Aaron Feng, Jinfeng Li, Saran Mumick, Alon Halevy, Vivian Li, Wang-Chiew Tan. Subjective Databases. arXiv
preprint arXiv:1902.09661, 2019
[2] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. arXiv preprint
arXiv:1301.3781, 2013
3] J. Devlin, MW. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language
understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805, 2018.
[4] Text Learning Group. (2019). Retrieved from http://sentiwordnet.isti.cnr.it/
5] Chinsha, T.C.& Joseph, S. (2014) Aspect Based Opinion Mining from Restaurant Reviews. International Journal of Computer
Applications.Aspect based Opinion Mining from Restaurant Reviews
[6] Jie Kang, Kyle Condiff, Shuo Chang, Joseph A. Konstan, Loren Terveen, F. Maxwell Harper. Understanding How People
Use Natural Language to Ask for Recommendations(2017). http://files.grouplens.org/papers/harper-recsys2017.pdf
[7] Wei Zhu; Rajiv Mehrotra(2002). United States Patent No. US 6,345,274 B1 Retrieved from
https://patents.google.com/patent/US6345274B1/en